

Addendum 2 for Extraordinary Council Meeting

Thursday 25th January 2024

Agenda Item 3: Next step options for the draft Local Plan

With regard to the above item, please see the attached letter from Lee Rowley MP, Minister of State for Housing, Planning and Building Safety, received Thursday 25th January 2024.



Lee Rowley MP Minister of State for Housing, Planning and Building Safety Fry Building 2 Marsham Street London SW1P 4DF

Councillor Stephen Cooksey Leader of Mole Valley District Council Mole Valley District Council Pippbrook Dorking Surrey, RH4 1SJ

By email only

25 January 2024

Dear Councillor Cooksey,

In my role as Minister of State for Housing, Planning and Building Safety, I am writing to you over concerns that Mole Valley District Council ('the Council') may withdraw the emerging local plan ('the plan') from examination.

The Government is clear that local plans are at the heart of the planning system, and it is essential that up-to-date plans are in place and are kept up to date. Section 19 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 ('the 2004 Act') requires that each local planning authority must identify the strategic priorities for the development and use of land in the authority's area, and policies to address those priorities must be set out in the local planning authority's development plan documents such as the local plan.

The last Mole Valley Local Plan was adopted in 2009 and the emerging plan is at a very advanced stage of the examination with Main Modifications consultation about to begin. Withdrawing the plan at this very advanced stage of preparation will extend the period in which the Council has had no up-to-date local plan in place.

Given the Council's proposed intention to vote on withdrawing the plan, consideration has been given to the steps that can be taken in connection with the failure to have an up-to-date plan in place, including intervention by the Secretary of State.

The Secretary of State has powers to intervene under section 27 of the 2004 Act, if he thinks that a local planning authority is failing or omitting to do anything it is necessary for them to do in connection with the preparation, revision or adoption of their local plan. It is considered that withdrawing the plan from examination would be a clear failure by the Council of the statutory test set out in section 27(1) of the 2004 Act.

I have also considered the Local Plan intervention criteria in the 2017 White Paper "Fixing our broken housing market", to assist me in determining priority and whether intervention should take place. The applicable criteria are:

- <u>The least progress in plan-making has been made</u>: More than 90% of English Councils have adopted a local plan since Mole Valley (October 2009). If the Council withdraws the plan, it would be left with one of the oldest adopted local plans in the country.
- <u>Policies in plans have not been kept up to date</u>: The adopted local plan is now over 14 years old, and it is reasonable to assume, given the age of the plan, that a number of the policies it contains will not be up to date.
- <u>There was higher housing pressure</u>: I note that the Council has not performed well against the Housing Delivery Test. Housing affordability is a significant problem in Mole Valley and the ratio of average house prices to average wages is amongst the highest in England. I can therefore conclude that there is higher housing pressure.
- <u>Intervention would have the greatest impact in accelerating Local Plan production</u>: Considering the average time taken to prepare a local plan is seven years and we are approaching the phased introduction of a new planning system, withdrawing the plan at this stage could only lead to significant further delay whilst a new plan is prepared. Intervening would therefore accelerate plan production given the current plan is submitted and at examination.
- <u>Wider Planning context</u>: Delays caused by withdrawing the Local Plan could significantly slow down Neighbourhood Plan progress in the area and could also disincentivise other communities from coming forward to start the process.

Having considered Mole Valley's performance against the intervention policy criteria and the statutory test set out in section 27(1) of the 2004 Act, I am satisfied that intervention is justified. Accordingly, the Secretary of State is exercising his powers under section 27 of the 2004 Act and directs the Council as follows:

- 1. Per section 27(2)(b): Not to take any step to withdraw the plan from examination and report monthly (from the date of this letter) to my officials on the progress with the examination. In accordance with section 27(8) of the 2004 Act, the Secretary of State's reason for making this direction is to avoid the unnecessary additional delay to having an up-to-date plan in place and additional expense that withdrawing the plan and preparing a new plan would cause.
- 2. Per section 27(4)(b): On conclusion of the examination, to publish the Planning Inspector's recommendations and reasons.
- 3. Per section 27(5)(b): On conclusion of the examination, to consider adopting the plan, including any main modifications recommended by the Planning Inspector deemed necessary to make the plan sound. In accordance with section 27(8) of the 2004 Act, the Secretary of State's reason for making this direction is to give the people of Mole Valley the best chance of having a sound local plan adopted in the near future, protecting the area in which they live from speculative development.

The above directions:

- 1. Do not prevent the Council from deciding to continue progressing the plan through examination; and
- 2. Will remain in force until withdrawn by the Secretary of State.

Should a significant delay occur to progressing the examination, and should you fail to comply with the directions in this letter, I will consider taking further intervention action to ensure that an up-to-date local plan is in place.

Notwithstanding this direction, I would like to take the opportunity to ask you to set out by 09 February 2024 any exceptional circumstances that should be taken into account when the Secretary of State considers the next steps to take in relation to the Direction and the emerging plan. To be clear, this neither alters nor removes the direction set out in this letter.

In the meantime, departmental officials will continue to engage with your officers.

Yours sincerely,

100

LEE ROWLEY MP Minister of State for Housing, Planning and Building Safety